entering the United States or commercial merchandise inspected in connection with entry, the person has received oral or written notification of Customs finding of a violation.

- (2) The presumption of knowledge may be rebutted by evidence that, not-withstanding the foregoing notice, inquiry or request, the person did not have knowledge that an investigation had commenced with respect to the disclosed information.
- (j) Prior disclosure using sampling. (1) A private party may use statistical sampling to "disclose the circumstances of a violation" and for calculation of lost duties, taxes, and fees or lost revenue for purposes of prior disclosure, provided that the statistical sampling satisfies the criteria in 19 CFR 163.11(c)(3). The prior disclosure must include an explanation of the sampling plan and methodology that meets with CBP's approval. The time period, scope, and any sampling plan employed by the private party, as well as the execution and results of the selfreview, are subject to CBP review and approval. In accordance with 19 CFR 163.11(c)(1), in circumstances where the private party and CBP have discussed and accepted the sampling plan and its methodology, or adjustments to it, the private party submitting a prior disclosure employing sampling under this paragraph may not contest the validity of the sampling plan or its methodology, and challenges of the sampling itself will be limited to computational and clerical errors after CBP conducts its review and makes a determination. This is not a waiver of the private party's right to later contest substantive issues it may properly raise under applicable regulations, as provided in 19 CFR 163.11(c)(1).
- (2) If a private party submits a prior disclosure claim employing sampling, CBP may review other transactions from the same time period and scope that are the subject of the prior disclosure.

[T.D. 98-49, 63 FR 29131, May 28, 1998; 63 FR 35798, July 1, 1998; T.D. 99-27, 64 FR 13676, Mar. 22, 1999; T.D. 99-64, 64 FR 43267, Aug. 10, 1999; T.D. 00-5, 65 FR 3809, Jan. 25, 2000; T.D. 00-57, 65 FR 53575, Sept. 5, 2000; CBP Dec. 11-20, 76 FR 65960, Oct. 25, 2011]

§ 162.75 Seizures limited under section 592, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

- (a) When authorized. Merchandise may be seized for violation of section 592, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1592) only if the port director has reasonable cause to believe that a person has violated the statute and that
 - (1) The person is insolvent,
- (2) The person is beyond the jurisdiction of the United States,
- (3) Seizure otherwise is essential to protect the revenue, or
- (4) Seizure is essential to prevent the introduction of prohibited or restricted merchandise into the Customs territory of the United States.
- (b) No seizure if prior disclosure. Under no circumstances shall merchandise be seized under the authority of 19 U.S.C. 1592 if there has been a prior disclosure of the violation. This paragraph does not limit seizures under the authority of any other applicable law or regulation
- (c) Seizure notice. If merchandise is seized, the Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer shall promptly issue a written notice of seizure to the person concerned and to any other person the facts of record indicate has an interest in the merchandise. The seizure notice shall contain the information required by §162.31 and shall state why the seizure was necessary.
- (d) Release of seized merchandise—(1) To person from whom seized. The Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer shall return seized mechandise to the person from whom seized upon the deposit of security, in a form acceptable to the Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer, equal to the maximum penalty which may be assessed, if the entry of the merchandise into the commerce of the United States is not prohibited or restricted.
- (2) To others. The Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer may release seized merchandise to any other person upon the deposit of adequate security, in a form acceptable to the Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer, if the entry of the merchandise into the commerce of the United States is not prohibited or restricted, and if:
- (i) The Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer is satisfied that the person

§ 162.76

has a substantial interest in the merchandise, and

- (ii) The person submits either an agreement to hold the United States and its officers and employees harmless, or a release from the owner and/or the person from whom the merchandise was seized.
- (3) Forfeiture. If neither a petition for relief is filed in accordance with part 171 of this chapter, nor compliance made with the decision within the time provided by law, the Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer immediately shall report the facts and refer the case to the Department of Justice for the institution of court proceedings.

[T.D. 72–211, 37 FR 16488, Aug. 15, 1972, as amended by T.D. 84–18, 49 FR 1679, Jan. 13, 1984; T.D. 85–90, 50 FR 21431, May 24, 1985; T.D. 86–118, 51 FR 22516, June 20, 1986; T.D. 88–43, 53 FR 28195, July 27, 1988; T.D. 99–27, 64 FR 13676, Mar. 22, 1999]

§ 162.76 Prepenalty notice for violations of sections 466 or 584(a)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

- (a) When required. If the Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer has reasonable cause to believe that a violation of section 466 or 584(a)(1), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1466, 1584(a)(1)), has occurred and determines that further proceedings are warranted, he shall issue to the person concerned a written notice of his intent to issue a penalty claim or a claim of forfeiture, as appropriate.
- (b) Contents—(1) Facts of violation. The prepenalty notice shall:
- (i) Describe the merchandise, if applicable.
- (ii) Set forth the details of the error in the manifest, if applicable,
- (iii) Specify all laws and regulations allegedly violated.
- (iv) Describe all material facts and circumstances which establish the alleged violation, and
- (v) State the estimated loss of duties, if any, and, taking into account all circumstances, the amount of the proposed penalty claim or claim of forfeiture, as appropriate.
- (2) Right to make presentation. The prepenalty notice also shall inform the person of his right to make a written and an oral presentation within 30 days of the mailing of the notice (or such shorter period as may be prescribed

under §162.78) as to why a penalty claim or claim of forfeiture should not be issued or, if issued and it involves a monetary amount, why it should be in a lesser amount than proposed.

(c) *Exception*. No prepenalty notice shall be issued if the proposed penalty for an alleged violation of 19 U.S.C. 1584(a)(1) is \$1,000 or less.

[T.D. 79–160, 44 FR 31958, June 4, 1979, as amended by T.D. 99–27, 64 FR 13676, Mar. 22, 1999; CBP Dec. 04–28, 69 FR 52600, Aug. 27, 2004]

§162.77 Prepenalty notice for violations of section 592, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

- (a) When required. If the Fines, Penalties, and Forfeitures Officer has reasonable cause to believe that a violation of section 592, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1592), has occurred, and determines that further proceedings are warranted, he shall issue to the person concerned a notice of his intent to issue a claim for a monetary penalty. The prepenalty notice shall be issued whether or not a seizure has been made.
- (b) Contents—(1) Facts of violation. The prepenalty notice shall:
- (i) Describe the merchandise,
- (ii) Set forth the details of the entry or introduction, the attempted entry or introduction, or the aiding or abetting of the entry, introduction, or attempt.
- (iii) Specify all laws and regulatons allegedly violated,
- (iv) Disclose all material facts which establish the alleged violation,
- (v) State whether the alleged violation occured as the result of fraud, gross negligence, or negligence, and
- (vi) State the estimated loss of duties, if any, and, taking into account all circumstances, the amount of the proposed monetary penalty.
- (2) Right to make presentations. The prepenalty notice also shall inform the person of his right to make an oral and a written presentation within 30 days of the mailing of the notice (or such shorter period as may be prescribed under §162.78) as to why a claim for a monetary penalty should not be issued or, if issued, why it should be in a lesser amount than proposed.